Blake Goodman Bar Number: 7436

Law Offices of Blake Goodman

900 Fort St Mall Ste 910 Honolulu, HI 96813 Ph: (808) 528-4274 blake@debtfreehawaii.com Attorney for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAII

VINEESHA CAMACHO,

Plaintiff,

v.

TRANS UNION, LLC; EXPERIAN INFORMATION SOLUTIONS, INC.; CONTINENTAL FINANCE COMPANY, LLC;

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:24-cv-237

COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

1. FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

Plaintiff Vineesha Camacho ("Plaintiff"), through counsel, alleges violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* against Defendant Trans Union, LLC ("TransUnion") Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. ("Experian") and Defendant Continental Finance Company, LLC ("Continental") (collectively, "Defendants").

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff's Complaint arises from violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* by the Defendants. Plaintiff contends that the Defendants failed to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of Plaintiff's consumer reports, and consequently reported inaccurate information about Plaintiff. "Consumer reports" under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d) include both credit file disclosures obtained

directly by Plaintiff from the consumer reporting agencies and consumer reports obtained by third parties as a factor in establishing Plaintiff's eligibility for credit.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has jurisdiction of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 because Plaintiff alleges violations of the FCRA, a federal law. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681p (FCRA) (permitting actions to enforce liability in an appropriate United States District Court).
- 3. Venue in the District of Hawaii is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because Defendants regularly transact business within this District, are otherwise subject to personal jurisdiction in this District, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the city of Kapolei, County of Honolulu, Hawaii.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 7. TransUnion is a "consumer reporting agency," as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). On information and belief, TransUnion is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing "consumer reports," as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), to third parties. TransUnion's principal place of business is located at 555 W. Adams Street, Chicago, Illinois 60661.
- 8. Experian is a "consumer reporting agency," as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f). On information and belief, Experian is regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing "consumer

reports," as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), to third parties. Experian's principal place of business is located at 475 Anton Boulevard, Costa Mesa, California 92626. Experian can be served at its registered agent in Hawaii c/o CT Corporation System, located at 900 Fort Street Mall, Suite 1680, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813.

- 9. Continental is a person who furnishes information to consumer reporting agencies under 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2. Continental has a principal office address located at 4550 New Linden Hill Road, Suite 400, Wilmington, Delaware 19808.
- 10. During all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendants were authorized to conduct business in the State of Hawaii and conducted business in the State of Hawaii on a routine and systematic basis.
- 11. TransUnion and Experian (collectively referred to as the "CRA Defendants") regularly engage in the business of assembling, evaluating, and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing "consumer reports," as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(d), to third parties. The CRA Defendants regularly furnish consumer reports to third parties for monetary compensation, fees, and other dues, using means and facilities of interstate commerce, and is therefore a "consumer reporting agency" ("CRA") as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) of the FCRA.
- 12. During all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendants acted through authorized agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and/or insurers.
- 13. Any violations by Defendants were not in good faith, were knowing, negligent, willful, and/or intentional, and Defendants did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The Role of Credit Reporting Agencies

- 14. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 15. The United States Congress has found that the banking system is dependent upon fair and accurate credit reporting. Inaccurate consumer reports directly impair the efficiency of the banking system, and unfair credit reporting methods undermine the public confidence, which is essential to the continual functioning of the banking system.
- 16. Congress enacted the FCRA to ensure fair and accurate reporting, promote efficiency in the banking system, and protect consumer privacy.
- 17. The CRA Defendants are two of the three major consumer reporting agencies (at times referred to collectively as "the CRAs," and individually as a "CRA") in the United States, who regularly publish and distribute credit information about Plaintiff and other consumers through the sale of consumer reports (i.e., credit reports).
- 18. The FCRA is intended to ensure CRAs exercise their weighty responsibilities with fairness, impartiality, and respect for the consumer's right to privacy because CRAs have assumed such a vital role in assembling and evaluating consumer credit and other consumer information.
- 19. The CRA Defendants' consumer reports generally contain the following information: (i) Header/Identifying Information: this section generally includes the consumer's name, current and prior addresses, date of birth, and phone numbers; (ii) Tradeline Information: this section pertains to consumer credit history, and includes the type of credit account, credit limit or loan amount, account balance, payment history, and status; (iii) Public Record Information: this section typically includes public record information, such as bankruptcy filings; and (iv) Credit Inquiries: this section lists every entity that has accessed the consumer's file through a "hard

inquiry" (i.e., consumer-initiated activities, such as applications for credit cards, to rent an apartment, to open a deposit account, or for other services) or "soft inquiry" (i.e., user-initiated inquiries like prescreening).

- 20. The CRA Defendants obtain consumer information from various sources. Some consumer information is sent directly to the CRA Defendants, and other information must be independently gathered by the CRA Defendants, or acquired from third party providers, vendors or repositories, such as computerized reporting services like PACER or Lexis-Nexis.
- 21. The CRA Defendants also obtain information from other CRAs, who commonly share information.
- 22. The CRA Defendants regularly seek out and procure consumer bankruptcy filing and discharge information on a daily basis, with the intention of including it in the consumer reports that the CRA Defendants sell to third parties for a profit.
- 23. The diligence the CRA Defendants exercise in uncovering and recording consumer bankruptcy filings is not replicated in the CRA Defendants' subsequent reporting of bankruptcy discharges and their effect on consumers' debts.
- 24. The CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies, procedures, and algorithms consistently fail to report consumer information accurately in their credit reports, as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).
- 25. The CRA Defendants know the information it reports about consumers' bankruptcies is often inconsistent with public records, furnished and reported information, and information contained in its own files.

- 26. The CRA Defendants fail to conduct a reasonable investigation into a dispute when it fails to notice the self-evident information the CRA Defendants already obtain regarding consumers.
- 27. The vast majority of institutions that offer financial services (e.g., banks, creditors, lenders) rely upon consumer reports from CRAs (like the CRA Defendants) to make lending decisions.
- 28. The information the CRA Defendants include in a consumer report contributes to a consumer's overall creditworthiness and determines their FICO Scores.
- 29. FICO Scores are calculated using information contained in the CRA Defendants' consumer reports.
- 30. FICO and other third-party algorithms use variables or "attributes" derived from a consumer's consumer report to calculate a "credit score," which is a direct reflection of a consumer's creditworthiness.
- 31. FICO Scores factor the following consumer report information: Payment history (35%); Amount of debt (30%); Length of credit history (15%); New credit (10%); and Credit mix (10%).
- 32. "Payment history" refers to whether a consumer has paid his or her bills in the past, and whether these payments have been timely, late, or missed. In factoring the severity of delinquent payments, a FICO Score considers how late the payment continues to be, how much is owed, how recently the delinquency occurred, and how many delinquent accounts exist. The more severe, recent, and frequent late payments are, the lower a consumer's FICO Score will be.
- 33. The "amount of debt" a consumer owes has a major impact on their credit score. When a CRA reports a debt as outstanding when it is in fact discharged, the CRA is indicating that

a consumer's "amount of debt" is higher than it actually is, which will undoubtedly impact a consumer's credit score.

- 34. Lenders also consider a consumer's debt-to-income ratio (DTI) based on the total amount of debt reported by the CRA Defendants in consumer reports.
- 35. DTI compares the total amount a consumer owes to the total amount a consumer earns.
- 36. The CRA Defendants regularly provide information that allows lenders to calculate the "total amount of debt" a consumer owes based on the total debt reported by the CRA Defendants.
- 37. A consumer's income, however, is not included in their consumer report; only the amount of debt is included.
- 38. The higher the amount of reported debt that a consumer has, or appears to have, the worse the consumer's DTI will be, and the more difficult it will be for consumers to obtain credit, and the worse the credit terms will be (e.g., higher interest, lower credit limits).
- 39. Accordingly, a consumer is negatively affected when a CRA reports that a consumer owes a debt with a balance on it when that debt was discharged in a bankruptcy.
- 40. The CRA Defendants are well aware that the effect of a Discharge Order in a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy is that all statutorily dischargeable debts are discharged.
- 41. However, the CRA Defendants regularly report inaccurate information about consumers' debts after they receive a Discharge Order.
- 42. Rather than follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy, as it is required by the FCRA, the CRA Defendants frequently report information regarding pre-

bankruptcy debts and post-bankruptcy debts based on incomplete or knowingly inaccurate information.

- 43. The CRA Defendants regularly publish consumer information that conflicts with the information provided by data furnishers, included in the CRA Defendants' credit files, contained in public records that the CRA Defendants regularly access, and/or sourced through the CRA Defendants' independent and voluntary efforts.
- 44. Such inaccuracies are made in violation of the FCRA at 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation after receiving a dispute from a consumer is a violation of the FCRA at 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a).
- 45. Consumers have filed thousands of lawsuits and FTC and Consumer Financial Protection Bureau complaints against the CRA Defendants for their inaccurate consumer reporting following a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy Discharge, including failure to accurately report which debts were discharged.
- 46. Therefore, the CRA Defendants are on continued notice of their inadequate bankruptcy reporting procedures, which often produce inaccurate public record information, balances, and account and payment statuses.

Plaintiff's Bankruptcy and the Continental Account

- 47. On or around February 28, 2020, Plaintiff filed a joint Chapter 13 Bankruptcy in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Hawaii, petition no. 1:20-bk-256.
- 48. On August 7, 2020, Plaintiff opened an account with Continental, hereinafter "Continental Account."
- 49. On December 3, 2022, Plaintiff converted her joint Chapter 13 Bankruptcy to a joint Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.

- 50. Plaintiff received an Order of Discharge for her bankruptcy on March 6, 2023.
- 51. Because the Continental Account was opened before Plaintiff's Chapter 13 Bankruptcy was converted to a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy, it was discharged when the Order of Discharge was entered.

The Inaccuracies on the CRA Defendants' Consumer Reports

- 52. On July 11, 2023, Plaintiff obtained copies of her credit report from each of the CRA Defendants.
- 53. Plaintiff's Experian credit report reported the Continental Account with a status of "Closed. \$921 written off."
- 54. Similarly, Plaintiff's TransUnion credit report reported the Continental Account with a status of "In Collections/Charge-off."
- 55. Both CRA Defendants misrepresented the Continental Account by stating it was charged-off when it was actually discharged in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 56. These credit reports were created after Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy and after Plaintiff received an Order of Discharge.
- 57. The name, social security number, and address in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 petition match the information listed on the consumer reports prepared by the CRA Defendants.
- 58. In addition, public records reflecting Plaintiff's bankruptcy filing and subsequent discharge are readily available to the CRA Defendants through multiple sources such as PACER, but the CRA Defendants failed to review those sources or knowingly rejected them.
- 59. The CRA Defendants prepared one or more consumer reports concerning Plaintiff after Plaintiff received an Order of Discharge from her Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.

- 60. However, the CRA Defendants failed to accurately report Plaintiff's Continental Account and failed to report the account as being a part of Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 61. Upon information and belief, the CRA Defendants received notice of Plaintiff's bankruptcy discharge through its independent collection of Plaintiff's consumer information through vendors such as Lexis-Nexis, as well as from furnishers that provided data regarding the individual tradelines featured on Plaintiff's consumer reports.
- 62. The CRA Defendants also obtain information from other CRAs (who commonly share information).
- 63. The CRA Defendants are aware that CRAs are generally required to report accounts that were actually discharged in the bankruptcy proceedings as included and discharged in bankruptcy.
- 64. The CRA Defendants should have reported the Continental Account as an account that was included and discharged in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 65. Instead, the CRA Defendants incorrectly reported the Continental Account, which was created before Plaintiff converted her Chapter 13 Bankruptcy into a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy, as a charged-off account when it was actually an account that was included and discharged in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 66. The CRA Defendants inaccurately reported the Continental Account and failed to properly consider the Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy and the affect it had on relevant accounts.
- 67. In any event, the CRA Defendants knew or had reason to know that the reported information was contradicted by notices received from third parties as well as from the bankruptcy proceedings themselves.

68. If not patently inaccurate, the CRA Defendants inaccurate reporting of the Continental Account is materially misleading.

Failure to Investigate by Defendants

- 69. Upon information and belief, the CRA Defendants prepared and issued credit reports concerning the Plaintiff that included inaccurate and misleading information relating to her Continental Account.
- 70. The CRA Defendants have been reporting this inaccurate information through the issuance of false and inaccurate credit information and consumer reports that they have disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.
- 71. On or around August 8, 2023, Plaintiff mailed dispute letters to each of the CRA Defendants, informing them about the misreported information that was being presented related to the Continental Account.
- 72. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A), a CRA "shall provide notification of the dispute to any person who provided any item of information in dispute, at the address and in the manner established with the person. The notice shall include all relevant information regarding the dispute that the agency has received from the consumer or reseller."
- 73. Upon information and belief, upon receipt of the dispute letters, the CRA Defendants notified Continental of Plaintiff's dispute regarding the reported information.
- 74. Upon receipt of the dispute of Plaintiff's account information from the CRA Defendants, Continental failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and continued to report false and inaccurate adverse information on the consumer report of the Plaintiff with respect to the disputed account.

- 75. Had Continental done a reasonable investigation of the Plaintiff's dispute, it would have been revealed to Continental that the account was discharged in bankruptcy and should be reported as discharged.
- 76. Despite the dispute by the Plaintiff that the information on her consumer reports were inaccurate with respect to the disputed accounts, the CRA Defendants did not timely evaluate or consider any of the information, claims, or evidence of the Plaintiff and did not reasonably verify that the derogatory information concerning the disputed accounts was inaccurate.
- 77. On or around August 30, 2023, Experian responded to the Plaintiff's dispute letter by stating that it updated the reporting on the Continental Account.
- 78. However, the updated reporting by Experian still displayed the Continental Account as closed with a \$921 balance and the only change was a note stating that the Continental Account has been disputed.
- 79. On or around September 2, 2023, TransUnion sent Plaintiff a response to her dispute letter, which stated the information TransUnion completed its investigation of the dispute and nothing specified was updated for the Continental Account.
- 80. Despite the dispute by the Plaintiff that the information on her consumer reports were inaccurate with respect to the disputed accounts, Defendants did not timely evaluate or consider any of the information, claims, or evidence of the Plaintiff and did not reasonably verify that the derogatory information concerning the disputed accounts was inaccurate.
- 81. The CRA Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation since they failed to delete or correct the disputed trade lines within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's dispute letter.

- 82. Had the CRA Defendants done a reasonable investigation of the Plaintiff's dispute, it would have been revealed to the CRA Defendants that the Continental Account was discharged in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy and should be reported as such.
- 83. The CRA Defendants have been reporting this inaccurate information through the issuance of false and inaccurate credit information and consumer reports that they have disseminated to various persons and credit grantors.
- 84. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's efforts, the CRA Defendants continue to publish and disseminate such inaccurate information to other third parties, persons, entities, and credit grantors, as evidenced by the inquiries on the Plaintiff's credit report in the form of hard and soft pulls.
- 85. Pursuant to the FCRA, all persons who furnished information to reporting agencies must participate in re-investigations conducted by the agencies when consumers dispute the accuracy and completeness of information contained in a consumer credit report. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.
- 86. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's efforts, Continental failed to conduct a proper reinvestigation into her account and continued to inaccurately furnish incorrect information to the CRA Defendants.
- 87. As a result of the Defendants' failure to comply with the FCRA, the Plaintiff suffered concrete harm in the form of loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on applications for future credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation, and embarrassment of credit denial.

Plaintiff's Damages

- 88. As a preliminary matter, Defendants failed to report the Continental Account accurately because they reported it as a charged off account when it was discharged in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 89. Plaintiff's creditworthiness is lowered because Defendants reported the Continental Account as a debt that was charged off with a balance that Plaintiff is still obligated to pay.
- 90. As an individual attempting to improve her credit score for future credit transactions, the Plaintiff was harmed because of Defendants' inaccurate reporting of the Continental Account.
- 91. The CRA Defendants' consumer reports failed to report the Continental Account and Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy appropriately, which causes severe damage to Plaintiff's creditworthiness and her financial wellbeing.
- 92. Plaintiff's consumer credit file and consumer reports were reviewed by numerous entities after the discharge of her bankruptcy; those entities viewed the erroneous information published by Defendants.
- 93. As a direct result of Defendants' inaccurate reporting and failure in investigating disputes, Plaintiff suffered damages, including a decreased credit score, lower overall creditworthiness, and other financial harm.
- 94. As a direct result of Defendants' inaccurate reporting, Plaintiff also suffers actual damages in the form of attorneys' fees incurred.
- 95. Additionally, Plaintiff suffers interference with daily activities, as well as emotional distress, including, without limitation, emotional and mental anguish, disturbance of sleep,

reputational damage, humiliation, stress, anger, frustration, shock, invasion of Plaintiff's privacy, embarrassment, and anxiety.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b)

- 96. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 97. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b), the FCRA requires CRAs, like the CRA Defendants, to maintain and follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of consumer information.
- 98. The CRA Defendants negligently and/or willfully violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to use reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy of credit information pertaining to pre-conversion accounts after a consumer receives a Discharge Order.
- 99. The CRA Defendants received notice of Plaintiff's bankruptcy and discharge through public records, independent collection of consumer information directly obtained by the CRA Defendants through sources of consumer information such as Lexis-Nexis, the CRA Defendants' own files, and information provided by data furnishers, yet the CRA Defendants misapplied that information.
- 100. The CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies and procedures cause them to routinely report inaccurate and materially misleading information about consumers, including Plaintiff, who file conversions to Chapter 7 Bankruptcies.
- 101. The CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies and procedures cause them to regularly report consumer information without verifying its accuracy.

- 102. The CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies, procedures and/or algorithms consistently fail to identify and update accounts that were actually included in a bankruptcy, as required by 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b).
- 103. The CRA Defendants know the information that they report about consumers' bankruptcies is often inconsistent with public records and their own files.
- 104. However, the CRA Defendants failed to report a pre-conversion account accurately, reporting it instead as a charged off account when it was actually included and discharged in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 105. The CRA Defendants' failure to maintain and employ reasonable procedures to assure the information they report is accurate is particularly egregious because the CRA Defendants regularly and voluntarily search for consumer bankruptcy information to include in credit files.
- 106. The CRA Defendants knew or should have known that they are obligated, by the FCRA, to maintain and employ reasonable procedures to assure they report maximally accurate consumer credit information.
- 107. The CRA Defendants knew or should have known that they are obligated, by the FCRA, to update their consumer reports and the relevant tradelines.
- 108. The CRA Defendants knew or should have known that the effect of a Discharge Order in Chapter 7 Bankruptcy is to discharge only the statutorily dischargeable debts that were created prior to conversion to a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 109. CRAs' obligations are established by the plain language of the FCRA, promulgated by the Federal Trade Commission, supported by well-established case law, and demonstrated in prior cases involving the CRA Defendants.

- 110. Therefore, the CRA Defendants have ample notice of their obligations under the FCRA and their continued use of unreasonable procedures.
- 111. If the CRA Defendants contend that they did not have sufficient notice, the CRA Defendants must justify their own failure to review and/or locate the substantial written materials that detail CRAs' duties and obligations under the FCRA, including when consumers file conversion to Chapter 7 Bankruptcy.
- 112. The CRA Defendants regularly conduct voluntary public records searches with the intention of including bankruptcy information on the consumer reports they sell to other parties for a profit.
- 113. When the CRA Defendants received notice of Plaintiff's bankruptcy information, they had an obligation to ensure they reported Plaintiff's discharge and its effects with maximal accuracy, and to not report non-bankruptcy accounts as included in the bankruptcy.
- 114. Unfortunately, the CRA Defendants willfully and consciously breached their duties as a CRA and deprived Plaintiff of her right to a fair and accurate consumer report.
- 115. Despite knowledge of their legal obligations, the CRA Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to use reasonable procedures to ensure maximum possible accuracy of Plaintiff's consumer report.
- 116. The CRA Defendants know that only a debt that was discharged in a bankruptcy should be reported as discharged on credit reports and a debt that was not discharged in a bankruptcy should not be reported as discharged.
- 117. The CRA Defendants knew or should have known the information they reported about the Continental Account was inaccurate.

- 118. The CRA Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) by failing to report accurate information when the CRA Defendants knew or should have known that the information the CRA Defendants are reporting is inaccurate, and/or otherwise contradicted by information known by the CRA Defendants, reported to the CRA Defendants, and/or reasonably available to the CRA Defendants.
 - 119. The CRA Defendants' violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) were willful.
- 120. Alternatively, the CRA Defendants' violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) were negligent.
 - 121. The CRA Defendants' inaccurate reporting damaged Plaintiff's creditworthiness.
- 122. Plaintiff suffers actual damages, including a decreased credit score, loss of credit opportunities, and other financial harm caused by the CRA Defendants for failing to report the Continental Account accurately, since it was an account that was discharged in Plaintiff's bankruptcy.
- 123. Plaintiff also suffers interference with daily activities caused by other harm including, but not limited to, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of sleep, stress, anger, frustration, shock, embarrassment, and anxiety.
 - 124. The CRA Defendants are a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
 - 125. The CRA Defendants' actions are substantial factor in Plaintiff's damages.
- 126. Therefore, the CRA Defendants are liable for actual and statutory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs, as well as other such relief permitted by 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)

- 127. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 128. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1)(A), after receiving a notice of dispute from a consumer, a credit reporting agency is obligated to "conduct a reasonable reinvestigation to determine whether the disputed information is inaccurate and record the current status of the disputed information, or delete the item from the file."
- 129. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(5)(C), "[a] consumer reporting agency shall maintain reasonable procedures designed to prevent the reappearance in a consumer's file, and in consumer reports on the consumer, of information that is deleted pursuant to this paragraph."
- 130. Plaintiff mailed the CRA Defendants dispute letters regarding the Continental Account on or around August 8, 2023.
- 131. After receiving the dispute letters, the CRA Defendants should have realized that the Continental Account was created before Plaintiff's conversion to a Chapter 7 Bankruptcy and is an account that can be discharged when an Order of Discharge is entered.
- 132. Plaintiff's dispute letters point out the issues with the CRA Defendants' credit reports, which were erroneous because the Continental Account, which was discharged, was instead reported as in collections and charged off.
- 133. The CRA Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a) by failing to delete inaccurate information from Plaintiff's credit file after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies and failing to conduct a reasonable reinvestigation.
- 134. The CRA Defendants failed to maintain policies and procedures to adequately respond to a dispute by a consumer regarding information contained in their reports.

- 135. The CRA Defendants' negligently and/or willfully violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation into the disputed information from Plaintiff's dispute letters and correcting the inaccurate information reported pertaining to the Continental Account.
- 136. The CRA Defendants' post-dispute credit reports still contain wrong information pertaining to the Continental Account.
- 137. The CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies and procedures cause them to routinely fail at conducting a reasonable investigation regarding the disputed information.
- 138. The CRA Defendants' unreasonable policies and procedures cause them to regularly report consumer information without verifying its accuracy even after receiving a dispute from a consumer.
- 139. The CRA Defendants know that in response to a dispute letter from a consumer, they must conduct a reasonable investigation into the dispute and must clarify any mistake or error made by them on any credit reports.
- 140. The CRA Defendants' failure to maintain and employ reasonable procedures to assure the maximum accuracy of consumers' pre-conversion accounts is particularly egregious because they regularly and voluntarily search for consumer bankruptcy information to include in credit files.
 - 141. The CRA Defendants' inadequate procedures damaged Plaintiff's creditworthiness.
- 142. Plaintiff suffers actual damages, including a decreased credit score, loss of credit opportunities, and other financial harm caused by the CRA Defendants' failure to respond to her dispute letters and update the disputed tradelines.

- 143. Plaintiff also suffers interference with daily activities caused by other harm including, but not limited to, emotional distress, mental anguish, humiliation, loss of sleep, stress, anger, frustration, shock, embarrassment, and anxiety.
- 144. The CRA Defendants' actions are a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
 - 145. The CRA Defendants' actions are a substantial factor in Plaintiff's damages.
- 146. Therefore, the CRA Defendants are liable for actual and statutory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs, as well as other such relief permitted by 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

COUNT III

VIOLATIONS OF THE FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2

- 147. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference all the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 148. Pursuant to the FCRA, all persons who furnished information to reporting agencies must participate in re-investigations conducted by the agencies when consumers dispute the accuracy and completeness of information contained in a consumer credit report. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.
- 149. Pursuant to the FCRA, a furnisher of disputed information is notified by the reporting agency when the agency receives a notice of dispute from a consumer such as the Plaintiff. The furnisher must then conduct a timely investigation of the disputed information and review all relevant information provided by the agency. See 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(2)(A).
- 150. The results of the investigation must be reported to the agency and, if the investigation reveals that the original information is incomplete or inaccurate, the information from

a furnisher such as Continental must be reported to all other agencies which were supplied such information. *See* 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(a)(2).

- 151. Upon information and belief, the CRA Defendants notified Continental of the Plaintiff's dispute regarding her account.
- 152. Upon receipt of the dispute of the account from the Plaintiff by the CRA Defendants, Continental failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and continued to report false and inaccurate adverse information on the consumer report of the Plaintiff with respect to the disputed accounts.
 - 153. Continental violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2 by:
 - a. failing to fully and properly investigate the dispute of the Plaintiff;
 - b. by failing to review all relevant information regarding the same; and
 - c. by failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to the credit reporting agencies.
- 154. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Continental, Plaintiff suffered damages for the loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denials.
- 155. The conduct, action and inaction of Continental was willful, rendering them liable for actual, statutory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.
- 156. Alternatively, the conduct, action and inaction of Continental was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
 - 157. Continental's procedures damaged Plaintiff's creditworthiness.

- 158. Continental's actions are a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's damages.
- 159. Continental's actions are substantial factors in Plaintiff's damages.
- 160. Therefore, Continental is liable for actual and statutory damages, punitive damages, attorneys' fees, costs, as well as other such relief permitted by 15 U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby demands jury trial on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgments against Defendant Trans Union, LLC, Defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc., and Defendant Continental Finance Company, LLC for the following:

- (a) Declaratory judgment that the CRA Defendants violated the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681e(b) and 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a);
- (b) Declaratory judgement that Continental violated the FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2;
- (c) An award of actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(1) or 1681o(a)(1);
- (d) An award of statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(1) and 1681o(a)(1);
- (e) An award of punitive damages, as allowed by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2);
- (f) Costs and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §§ 1681n(a)(3) and 1681o(a)(2); and

(g) Such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem just and proper, including any applicable pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, and/or declaratory relief.

Dated: June 5, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Blake Goodman

Law Offices of Blake Goodman, PC Blake Goodman, Esq. Bar Number: 7436 900 Fort Street Mall, #910 Honolulu, HI 96813 (808) 528-4274 blake@debtfreehawaii.com Counsel for Plaintiff

/s/ Yaakov Saks

Stein Saks, PLLC By: Yaakov Saks, Esq. One University Plaza, Suite 620

Hackensack, NJ 07601 Phone: 201-282-6500 Fax: 201-282-6501

ysaks@steinsakslegal.com Pro Hac Vice Pending